

Borough and Bankside Community Council

Wednesday 25 April 2012
7.00 pm

St George the Martyr, Borough High Street, London SE1 1JD

Membership

Councillor Poddy Clark (Chair)
Councillor Geoffrey Thornton (Vice-Chair)
Councillor Claire Hickson
Councillor Tim McNally
Councillor Adele Morris
Councillor David Noakes

Members of the committee are summoned to attend this meeting

Eleanor Kelly

Acting Chief Executive

Date: Tuesday 17 April 2012



Order of Business

Item No.	Title	Time
1.	INTRODUCTION AND WELCOME	
2.	APOLOGIES	
3.	DISCLOSURE OF MEMBERS' INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS	

Members are asked to declare any interest or dispensation and the nature of that interest or dispensation which they may have in any of the items under consideration at this meeting.

Item No.	Title	Time
4.	ITEMS OF BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIR DEEMS URGENT	
	The chair to advise whether they have agreed to any item of urgent business being admitted to the agenda.	
5.	MINUTES (Pages 3 - 12)	
	To confirm as a correct record the minutes of the meeting held on 13 March 2012.	
6.	DEPUTATIONS/PETITIONS (IF ANY)	
7.	COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS / VOLUNTEERING SLOT	7.10pm
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Tayo Situ Foundation (TSF) - recognising exceptional young people • Solace Women's Aid • Community Council Fund launch – Pauline Bonner (Neighbourhood Coordinator) 	
8.	BOWEL CANCER UK / GUY'S CANCER PARTNERS	7.25pm
	<p>Claire Stephenson, Bowel Cancer UK</p> <p>Karen Sorensen, Guy's Hospital</p>	
9.	COMMUNITY SAFETY PRESENTATION	7.35pm
	Safer Neighbourhoods Teams (SNT)	
10.	PROJECT BANK INFORMATION/OVERVIEW	7.45pm
	Zayd Al-Jawar, Section 106 Legal Agreements Manager	
11.	FLOODING RISK	7.55pm
	John Kissi, Flood Risk Manager	
	BREAK - OPPORTUNITY FOR RESIDENTS TO CHAT TO COUNCILLORS AND OFFICERS	8.05pm

Item No.	Title	Time
12.	APPRENTICESHIPS WITH SOUTHWARK COUNCIL	8.15pm
	Ann Cochrane, Organisational Development Business Partner	
13.	DICKENS - FACT INTO FICTION	8.25pm
14.	CLEANER GREENER SAFER REVENUE FUND - BOROUGH AND BANKSIDE (Pages 13 - 18)	8.40pm
	Executive Function	
	Councillors to consider the information contained in the report.	
15.	CLEANER GREENER SAFER: FUNDING REALLOCATION (Pages 19 - 21)	8.50pm
	Executive Function	
	Councillors to consider the information contained in the report.	
16.	DEVOLVED HIGHWAYS BUDGETS (Pages 22 - 28)	8.55pm
	Executive Function	
	Councillors to consider the information contained in the report.	
17.	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (Pages 29 - 31)	9.00pm
	This is an opportunity for public questions to be addressed to the chair.	
	Residents or persons working in the borough may ask questions on any matter in relation to which the council has powers or duties.	
	Responses may be supplied in writing following the meeting.	
18.	EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC AND PRESS	
	That the public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraphs 1 and 2, Access to Information Procedure rules of the Constitution.	

Item No.	Title	Time
19.	SCHOOL GOVERNORS APPOINTMENTS	9.10pm
	Councillors to consider the information set out in the closed agenda pack.	

Date: Tuesday 17 April 2012

Borough&Bankside Community Council

Language Needs

If you would like information on the Community Councils translated into your language please telephone 020 7525 7187 or visit the officers at 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2TZ

Spanish:

Necesidades de Idioma

Si usted desea información sobre los Municipios de la Comunidad traducida a su idioma por favor llame al 020 7525 7187 o visite a los oficiales de 160 Tooley Street, Londres SE1 2TZ

Somali:

U-Baahnaanshaha Luqadda

Haddii aad u baahan tahay macluumaadka ku saabsan Guddiyada Beelaha oo lagu tarjumay luqaddaada fadlan soo wac khadka taleefoonka 020 7525 7187 ama booqasho ugu tag hawladeennada ku sugan 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2TZ

Arabic:

إحتياجات لغوية

إذا كنت ترغب في الحصول على معلومات عن مجالس المجموعات المحلية وترجمتها إلى لغتك الرجاء الإتصال برقم الهاتف: 020 7525 7187 أو زيارة المكتب في 160 Tooley Street SE1 2TZ London

French:

Besoins de Langue

Si vous désirez obtenir des renseignements sur les Community Councils traduits dans votre langue, veuillez appeler le 020 7525 7187 ou allez voir nos agents à 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2TZ

Bengali:

ভাষার প্রয়োজন

আপনি যদি নিজের ভাষায় কমিউনিটি কাউন্সিল সম্পর্কে তথ্য পেতে চান তাহলে 020 7525 7187 নম্বরে ফোন করুন অথবা 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2TZ ঠিকানায় গিয়ে অফিসারদের সাথে দেখা করুন।

Yoruba:

Awon Kosemani Fun Ede

Bi o ba ba nfe àlàyé kíkún l'ori awon Ìgbìmò Àwùjo ti a se ayipada si ede abínibí re, jọwọ tẹ wa l'aago si ori nombá yi i : 020 7525 7187 tabi ki o yo ju si awon òṣiṣé ni ojúlé 160 Tooley Street , London SE1 2TZ .

Amharic:

የቋንቋ ተፈላጊነት

የነዋሪዎች ምክርቤትን መረጃዎች ወደ ቋንቋዎ እንዲተረጎሙ የምትፈልጉ ከሆነ እባክዎን በ 020 7525 7187 ይደውሉ። ወይም ወደ ቱሊ ስትሪት/መንገድ የቤት ቁጥር 160 ለንደን SE1 2TZ በመሄድ እዛው ያሉትን ሰራተኞች ይጎብኙ።

Punjabi:

ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਦੀਆਂ ਲੋੜਾਂ

ਜੇ ਤੁਸੀਂ ਚਾਹੁੰਦੇ ਹੋ ਕਿ ਕਮਿਊਨਿਟੀ ਕਾਂਊਸਿਲ ਬਾਰੇ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਦਾ ਤੁਹਾਡੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਵਿਚ ਤਰਜਮਾ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ ਤਾਂ ਕਿਰਪਾ ਕਰ ਕੇ 020 7525 7187 ਨੰਬਰ 'ਤੇ ਫੋਨ ਕਰੋ ਜਾਂ 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2TZ ਜਾ ਕੇ ਅਫਸਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਮਿਲੋ।



BOROUGH AND BANKSIDE COMMUNITY COUNCIL

MINUTES of the Borough and Bankside Community Council held on Tuesday 13 March 2012 at 7.00 pm at St George the Martyr, Borough High Street, London SE1 1JD

PRESENT: Councillor Poddy Clark (Chair)
 Councillor Geoffrey Thornton (Vice-Chair)
 Councillor Claire Hickson
 Councillor Tim McNally
 Councillor David Noakes

OTHER MEMBERS PRESENT: Councillor Veronica Ward, Cabinet member for culture, leisure, sport and the Olympics

OFFICER SUPPORT: Pauline Bonner (Borough & Bankside and Walworth Neighbourhood Coordinator)
 Chris Dossett (Targeted Youth Support Officer)
 Ben Finden (Project Manager)
 Philippa Beagley (Foundation and Vocational Learning Lead)
 Kate Johnson (Senior Planning Policy Officer)
 Jonathon Toy (Head of Community Safety & Enforcement)
 Gerald Gohler (Constitutional Officer)

1. INTRODUCTION AND WELCOME

The chair welcomed councillors, members of the public and officers to the meeting.

2. APOLOGIES

There were apologies for absence from Councillor Adele Morris.

The chair informed the meeting that PS Dutton from Cathedrals Safer Neighbourhoods Team had also given his apologies.

3. DISCLOSURE OF MEMBERS' INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS

There were none.

4. ITEMS OF BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIR DEEMS URGENT

There were none.

5. MINUTES

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the meeting held on 11 January 2012 be agreed as an accurate record of that meeting, and signed by the chair.

6. DEPUTATIONS/PETITIONS (IF ANY)

There were none.

7. COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS / VOLUNTEERING SLOT

The meeting heard that the events diary, which was produced by the Community Engagement team, contained local events which would be happening over the next months including the Safer Neighbourhoods Teams (SNT) ward panel dates.

The meeting heard that there would be a fun day in the Crypt at St George on 21 April as part of the St George in Southwark festival. This would take place around 23 April 2012, and also include a lunch on 24 April and the ballad of St George and the Dragon at Red Cross Gardens in the afternoon of that day.

Issues around Borough High Street

Councillor David Noakes updated the meeting on the scaffolding in Borough High Street, which was something that councillors and residents had long been campaigning on. There was now a timetable for the removal of the remaining scaffolding which King's College, the owner of the building, had agreed to do by the middle of July. The scaffolding would be moved to the inside of the building. The aim was now to get Transport for London (TfL) to redo the pavement, which was currently under scaffolding, and which had previously been missed out. These works would probably have to wait until after the Olympics. He went on to say that the lighting in Christchurch Gardens had been upgraded and a new power supply had been found. The new columns were to go in by the end of March. Responding to a question from the floor, Councillor Noakes said that TfL was responsible for the granting and enforcing the licences for scaffolding on Borough High Street.

Borough and Bankside Youth Community Council (BBYCC)

Chris Dossett, Targeted Youth Support Officer, spoke to the meeting about the Borough and Bankside Youth Community Council and explained that its mission was to give a voice to young people. The BBYCC had been involved in various activities: meeting regularly

with local councillors and running projects and campaigns. The Senior Health Promotion Officer had recently spoken to the BBYCC, who shared ideas about tackling childhood obesity. They had also put together petitions, and had lobbied Parliament. By being involved in the Youth Community Council, young people were able to have a voice and to acquire valuable skills and experience in planning and organising, which they would be able to use on their CVs.

Responding to questions, Chris explained that the BBYCC and its equivalent in Walworth had worked together closely on a petition about betting shops on Walworth Road. They had brought this issue to the Walworth Community Council, and were looking to meet Harriet Harman MP about this.

The chair congratulated Chris on the good work the BBYCC had done.

8. OLYMPICS / PARALYMPICS 2012

The chair welcomed Councillor Veronica Ward, cabinet member for culture, leisure, sport and the Olympics to the meeting.

Ben Finden, Project Manager, outlined the structure chart of the Southwark Olympic Delivery Board and the duties of the operational planning group, which had been set up to ensure Southwark supported inspirational, safe and inclusive Games. There were different work-streams including: volunteering, the Southwark experience group, engaging with young people, travel and networks, and health and well-being.

Councillor Veronica Ward, cabinet member for culture, leisure, sport and the Olympics, said that the Olympics were going to have a great impact on this on part of the borough, and that the council were keen to show off Southwark to visitors from around the world. The two key issues were: what needed to be done while the Games were going on, and what the Olympic legacy would be. There would be lots of activities, including an arts and cultural festival (including plays being put on in 37 languages), cultural heritage trails to attract visitors to the southern parts of the borough (for example, to the 1948 Velodrome) and legacy projects. The activities would be delivered with no extra council resources required. The legacy projects, were designed to leave a legacy of health and wellbeing in the borough.

Jonathon Toy, Head of Community Safety & Enforcement, informed the meeting of the dates for the Olympics. These were:

Torch Relay arrives in London	21 Jul 2012
Olympics – Opening Ceremony	27 Jul 2012
Olympics – Closing Ceremony	12 Aug 2012
Paralympics – Opening	29 Aug 2012
Paralympics – Closing	09 Sep 2012

The transport and road network issues would become important to during the Olympics. In addition to the Olympics, the Notting Hill Carnival and the Diamond Jubilee would also be taking place in the summer, so that Olympic boroughs like Southwark would be very busy. 20 million extra trips would be made within London during the 2012 Games. 80% of

spectators would be travelling by rail (including the Underground). In Southwark, commuters were advised not to use London Bridge and Canada Water stations. While Southwark did not have any Olympic Route Network (ORN) roads, there were some Alternative Olympic route network (AORN) roads and Road Event Olympic route network (REORN) roads in the borough. The marathon route included the A201 New Kent Road and A100 Tower Bridge Road. This would be used on Day 9 - Sunday 5th August 2012, and day 16 - Sunday 12th August 2012. On these days, there would be traffic signal timing changes and adjusted stopping restrictions. Residents and businesses would have to think about how they could best modify their commutes and deliveries.

Philippa Beagly, Foundation and Vocational Learning Lead, told the meeting that schools, which had joined the "Get Set" network had been able to apply for free Olympic tickets, if they had put the Olympics on their curriculum in terms of sports, but also in terms of values (excellence, respect and friendship). 91 schools had done so - over 1,900 tickets had gone to secondary schools and over 620 to primary schools. Sports events had been organised, and the aim was to encourage young people to volunteer and to become sports leaders. There were also a wide variety of cultural and arts events and projects for young people. 120 young people had signed up for an accredited volunteering programme. The legacy of the programme would be for young people to stay engaged with sport, volunteering and to increase their marketability on the job market.

Ben Finden informed the meeting that in the Borough and Bankside area, there were going to be discovery cultural trails, an Olympic market and the rings on Tower Bridge, as well as additional planting and GLA-funded street dressing. The torch relay would pass through the borough on 19 March 2012.

Responding to questions, Jonathon explained that there was no link between the legacy projects and the greater impact some parts of the borough would be experiencing. There were unfortunately no legacy projects in Borough and Bankside, as the projects, which had been proposed, had not been feasible. The officer time involved would help put Southwark on the map for a world-wide audience, and to attract visitors who would spend money in the borough. The council only contributed officer time, the other funding came from the GLA, TfL and other bodies. The footfall would be well managed and illegal street trading would be policed. Council resources would be concentrated in the north of the area, where the main Olympics activities would be.

The meeting heard a suggestion that officers should communicate with residents through the SE1 website, and by targeting those residents who were likely to be affected most by the Olympics, like those living close to Borough High Street and London Bridge, for example around Tabard Gardens.

Fears were expressed that the area would not have enough police and enforcement officer cover during the Olympics. Jonathan explained that policing numbers had almost been finalised, and that the night-time economy team would continue to be in place. In addition to this a multi-agency team had also been put together. He went on to say that his understanding was, that the police would be at about 66% of their normal strength.

The meeting heard a suggestion from the floor that there were opportunities for legacy projects to be put into Malborough Playground and Winchester Palace.

For further information, contact: Ben Finden, 020 7525 1289 ,
benjamin.finden@southwark.gov.uk

9. COMMUNITY COUNCILS

The chair outlined the proposed, new structure and frequency of meetings for community councils, and invited comments.

Councillors discussed the issue. The meeting heard that the changes had not been finalised, but were likely to be at the May meeting of Council Assembly. Further comments were made that community councils engaged residents like no other meeting, and that the current areas reflected the communities people felt part of. Views were expressed that savings could have been found elsewhere, and that the loss of the planning function was regrettable. Other views were expressed: that cross-border working had already taken place around Elephant and Castle, and that Elephant and Castle would be better served by being in just one Community Council area. The council had difficult choices to make, in terms of where to make cuts.

Questions were raised from the floor about the practicalities of having a meeting attended by 15 councillors. A suggestion was made to have geographic subgroups, which could meet could meet in parallel.

There was a discussion about future venues for the merged community council area, and a discussion about alternative ways of funding a group with a "Borough and Bankside only" remit.

The chair asked for a show of hands from the floor about whether agreed or disagreed with the changes. There were no votes in favour and one abstention, with the rest of residents on the floor voting against.

10. OPEN SPACES STRATEGY

Kate Johnson, Senior Planning Policy Officer, informed the meeting about the consultation currently being undertaken. The open space strategy set out a framework for open space provision in the borough, replaced work previously undertaken in 2003 and updated the work carried out in 2010. The document would make up an important part of the evidence base needed in the preparation of planning policy documents. The public consultation on the draft strategy would run until 24 April 2012, and the final strategy was scheduled to be adopted in September 2012. As part of the consultation, a survey among 750 residents had been conducted by telephone. The sample had been stratified by age, gender and location. Some of the results were as follows:

- High levels of satisfaction in open spaces (over 80%); highest amongst older age groups
- Almost 90% rated the quality of open space as good or very good
- Smaller open spaces, cemeteries and amenity spaces were considered to be of poorest quality
- Just 10% of residents stated that they did not visit open spaces. The majority of

these was due to time constraints or health reasons

- Value of smaller open spaces was reinforced, although larger parks were also very popular

As part of the exercise, an open space needs analysis was put together, which looked at various factors, such as population density, child density, health deprivation and accommodation type. A site audit was also conducted, which had looked at the uses and utilisation of the various open spaces, as well as their level of accessibility. The report also looked at different sub-areas.

The Borough, Bankside and London Bridge sub-area had a relatively limited amount of open space provision, consisting largely of small squares and churchyards. With a total of 0.25ha of park provision per 1,000 population, it was also well below the standard of 0.76ha per 1,000 population. The area was also deficient in the amount of natural green space available.

In order to remedy these issues, officers proposed that spaces, which were below the borough average for quality and value, and should be the focus of improvements including:

- Christchurch Gardens - potential to improve landscaping and facilities to address park deficiency in this area.
- Marlborough Playground - potential to improve landscaping and access
- Leathermarket Gardens - potential improvements to biodiversity
- Potential improvements to linkages between spaces.

Kate informed the meeting that there would be two workshops on the Open Space Strategy on:

- Saturday 17 March, 10.30am – 12.30pm, at 160 Tooley St , SE1
- Thursday 22 March, 6pm - 8pm at Peckham Library

More information was available on the council's website:

http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/200272/evidence_base/1611/environment

11. CLEANER GREENER SAFER CAPITAL BUDGET

Executive Function

Councillors considered the information set out in the report.

RESOLVED:

That the following works be funded from the Borough and Bankside Community Council Cleaner Greener Safer capital fund budget 2012/13:

2012-13 CGS FUNDING**TOTAL £230,228****PER WARD £115,114**

Both wards funding jointly	project cost
More BT cabinet box mini-murals in Borough area	£3,900
St Saviour's parish war memorial	£25,000
Jointly funded projects total	£28,900

Cathedrals

Winchester Palace unveiled	£10,000
Planting & Greening at Borough Road Estate	£7,500
Friars Close Urban Garden	£10,800
Compost at Amigo House	£450
Webber Street Herb and Fruit Garden - part of the Pontypool Herb and Street Garden	£10,800
Greening at Algar House yard	£9,500
Geraldine Mary Harmsworth park entrance upgrade and desire line removal	£12,500
Historic Signage for Geraldine Mary Harmsworth Park	£16,000
Greening Marlborough Playground	£15,000
Chicken out - at Charlotte Sharman school	£2,160
Copperfield Street Gardens/ Security and Upkeep	£4,000
Total Cathedrals	£98,710

Chaucer

Weston Street/ Wild's Rents corner gardening improvement	£1,080
----------------------------------------------------------	--------

Rockingham Estate Community Allotments	£8,000
Albert Barnes House Playground Development (Option 1)	£15,000
Bicycle lockers for Tabard Central	£12,000
Improvements to Shed between Becket and Selbourne	£7,500
Shere House planting	£10,800
Tabard Central EDF sub station and railings	£6,500
Nashe House cycle stores	£5,000
Harper Road/ Falmouth Road corner: Brighten up	£1,080
Improvements to local community hall/hub	£15,464
Lighting improvements	£8,640
Cheery wall art and mural repairs for Rockingham Day Care centre	£2,500
Docklands History BT Boxes around Tower Bridge Road	£3,900
BT cabinet box mini-mural project	£3,200
Total Chaucer	£100,664

12. CLEANER GREENER SAFER REVENUE BUDGET

Pauline Bonner explained that there would be £10,000 of CGS revenue funding available per ward for the 2012/2013 financial year, and that the funding would have to be on something non-physical, for example workshops or extra hours for street cleaners. Councillors explained that the funding could be used for youth provision or events. It was similar to the Community Council Fund, only there was £10,000 per ward. It was clarified that the funding could be rolled over into the following financial year, if not all the funding could be spent in 2012/13.

This year there was no formal application form, due to the timescales for the 2012/13 programme. The funding had only been agreed by Council Assembly on 28 February 2012. Pauline invited residents to submit their ideas directly to her before Friday 30 March 2012. Pauline can be contacted on 020 7525 1019 or at Pauline.Bonner@southwark.gov.uk.

13. DEVOLVED HIGHWAYS BUDGET

Executive Function

Councillors considered the information in the report, and commented that the funding proposals were still not dividing the funding equally between the two wards.

Councillors asked for an update on the schemes agreed in the last two financial years, and for information on who decided on the feasibility of the proposals submitted by residents at the last meeting; and on which criteria they had used.

RESOLVED:

That the decision on this item be deferred to the next community council meeting, as councillors require further information not contained in the report.

ACTION: Officers to provide:

1. An update on the highways schemes agreed in the last two financial years
2. Information on who decided on the feasibility of the proposals submitted by residents, and on which criteria they had used.

14. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

The following public questions were put:

1. Why was the Cleaner, Green, Safer proposal for Mint Street not funded?
Councillor David Noakes explained that the project proposals had been of high quality, and councillors had had difficult decisions to make, as the funding had been oversubscribed by 2 to 1. The chair said that councillors and officers would help groups who had submitted unsuccessful proposals to find alternative sources of funding.
2. Could a group for Borough and Bankside be set up, which is outside of the council structure and supported by sponsorship?

The chair explained that officer time was the main cost factor in running the meetings. Officer time would not be available to any group outside of the council structure. Councillor Tim McNally said that he was meeting with a body in the area who may want to help facilitate such a group, but said people should wait to see how the new arrangements would work. There was a general discussion about options for setting up a group independent of the council, and about the work of the Democracy Commission. The chair said she would ask Councillor Abdul Mohamed, cabinet member for equalities and community engagement, for feedback on the issues raised.

3. Will future savings be made by reducing the number of councillors?

The chair explained that this was unlikely, as it was officer time that was the main cost factor, and that councillors' time was given free.

The chair reminded attendees that the next meeting of the community council would be on 25 April 2012 at the Crypt in St George's.

Councillor Hickson informed the meeting that the British Legion were looking for volunteers for the poppy appeal. Details of this could be found in the events diary on page 2.

The meeting ended at 9.35 pm.

CHAIR:

DATED:

Item No. 14.	Classification Open	Date: 25 April 2012	Meeting Name: Borough & Bankside Community Council
Report title:		Borough & Bankside Cleaner Greener Safer Revenue Fund 2012/13	
Ward(s) or groups affected:		Cathedrals and Chaucer wards	
From:		Stephen Douglass, Head of Community Engagement	

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Borough & Bankside Community Council allocate £20,000 of the Cleaner, Greener, Safer (CGS) revenue fund 2012/13. The projects to be considered for allocation are set out in Appendix 1.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2. A Cleaner, Greener, Safer revenue fund 2012/13 consisting of £210,000 across the borough, with an allocation of £10,000 per ward, has been introduced as part of the budget strategy agreed at the council assembly meeting on the 29 February 2012.
3. The aim of this fund is to give community councils decision making powers over significant amounts of revenue funding that they can allocate to meet locally determined priorities. It is anticipated that the availability of the Revenue Fund will enhance and complement the effectiveness of the Capital Fund.
4. On 1 March 2012 the Leader of the Council delegated the executive function to each community council to take the Cleaner, Greener, Safer Revenue Funding decisions in their areas.

KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

5. The community councils will use the criteria set out below for the allocation of this funding.
 - a. Proposals that make an improvement to an area on the basis of making it cleaner, greener or safer or a combination.
 - b. CGS applications from the capital round which were ruled out because they were revenue applications.
 - c. The revenue fund could be used to meet the revenue costs associated with a CGS capital award.
 - d. A community council may choose to allocate some or all of their revenue resources to their CGS capital allocations.

- e. Subject to the availability of resources, the revenue fund may be used to buy services from the council.
6. While the allocation is based on £10,000 per ward a community council can if it chooses decide to aggregate all or part of the funding and spend more than £10,000 per ward.
7. Community councils will be free to indicate whether they would like expenditure to be an ongoing commitment over more than one financial year or spending over a fixed timescale for a one-off project. Commitments will be subject to final agreement of the council budget and a decision by each community council on an annual basis.
8. As with any executive decision taken by community councils this is subject to the council's existing scrutiny arrangements.

Delivery

9. Once the Community Council has made their selections by the method of their choice they will be designed and delivered as soon as possible in 2012/13. Any under spends or projected overspends will be reported back to Community Council for resolution or reallocation.

Community Impact Statement

10. The roles and functions of community councils include the promotion of involvement of local people in the democratic process. Community councils take decisions on local matters including environmental improvement and community safety as well as consultation on a wide range of policies and strategies that affect the area.
11. In fulfilling the above objectives that community councils have of bringing together and involving Southwark's diverse local communities, consideration has also been given to the council's duty under The Equality Act 2010 which requires the council to have due regard when taking decisions to the need to:
 - a. Eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation or other prohibited conduct;
 - b. Advance of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not share it
 - c. Foster good relations between those who share a relevant characteristic and those that do not share it.

Of particular regard are issues of age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation.

Having due regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity is further defined in s.149 as having due regard to the need of:

- Remove or minimise disadvantages connected with a relevant protected characteristic
- Take steps to meet the different needs of persons who share relevant protected characteristic
- Encourage persons who share a relevant protected characteristic

participate in public life or any other activity in which they are under-represented

Resource implications

12. The total cost of the CGS Revenue Fund is part of the Budget process for 2012/13 agreed by Council Assembly. Any costs incurred in implementing this fund will be met within existing resources.

Policy implications

13. The CGS Revenue Fund is fully aligned with the Council's policies toward sustainability, regeneration and community engagement.

Consultation

14. Decisions will reflect longstanding ward priorities and may be complementary to the decisions made in the CGS Capital fund allocation. In this first year of the scheme consultation will take place at the Community Council meetings and will therefore be an integral part of the decision making process. In future years consultation will be a key part of developing and identifying projects for funding and considering whether to proceed with indicative expenditure.

Legal Implications

15. The Local Government Act 2000 [as amended] ('the Act') gives the leader the power to delegate any executive function to whoever lawfully can undertake the function. The allocation of the Cleaner, Greener, Safer revenue fund ('CGS') is an executive function.
16. Community councils are 'area committees' within the meaning of the Act and executive functions can be delegated to them by the leader.
17. In allocating funding under the CGS Revenue Fund community councils must have regard to the council's equality duties set out in section 149 of the Equality Act 2010. The report author has demonstrated how those duties have been considered in the body of the report at paragraphs 12 in the Community Impact Statement.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
The Cleaner, Greener, Safer Revenue Fund Decision Making Report sent to the Leader of the Council on 23-02-12	Communities, Law & Governance, 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2TZ	Pauline Bonner, Tel 020 7525 1019

APPENDICES

No.	Title
Appendix 1	Borough & Bankside Community Council CGS Revenue Fund 2012/13 projects for consideration

AUDIT TRAIL

Lead Officer	Stephen Douglass, Head of Community Engagement	
Report Author	Darryl Telles, Neighbourhoods Manager	
Version	Final	
Dated	16 April 2012	
Key Decision?	No	
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER		
Officer Title	Comments Sought	Comments included
Strategic Director of Communities, Law & Governance	Yes	Yes
Finance Director	No	No
Cabinet Member	No	No
Date final report sent to Constitutional Team	16 April 2012	

Ref Number:	Ward:	Location:	Idea:	Description:	Approx cost [£]:
BBCGSR01	Cathedrals	Copperfield Community Garden, All Hallows Church, Copperfield Street, SE1	Copperfield Street security and upkeep	Would like a warden to secure Copperfield park, by locking and unlocking the park on a daily basis.	£10,000 (will provide 285 additional dedicated hours)
BBCGSR02	Cathedrals	Copperfield Community Garden, All Hallows Church, Copperfield Street, SE1	Copperfield Street gardens	Would like to increase opportunities for young people and elders to become involved in tree maintenance and planting. Applying for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Lawn mower; ▪ to install some raised beds; ▪ tree maintenance; ▪ path hogging; and ▪ new bins 	£2,500
BBCGSR03	Cathedrals	Marlborough Playground	Marlborough Playground mini Olympics sports workshop	Bank Side Open Spaces Trust (BOST) are planning a month long local mini Olympic event with lots of taster workshops, opportunities to play tennis, badminton, beach volleyball and other sports. Seeking funding for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ pay for on site staffing to host the event seven days a week; ▪ security; and ▪ cleaning. 	£5,000

Ref Number:	Ward:	Location:	Idea:	Description:	Approx Cost [£]:
BBCGSR04	Cathedrals	Red Cross Garden and Redcross Way	Southwark flower show / harvest festival	<p>In September BOST are planning to bring together representatives of as many gardeners as possible in the area to celebrate the different contributions gardeners are making to the area. To commemorate the 100th anniversary of the open space campaigner Octavia Hill who invented the original Southwark Flower Show over a hundred years ago.</p> <p>BOST require funding for entertainment, workshops, staging, refreshments, and materials for competitions.</p>	£1,000
BBCGSR05	Cathedrals		BOST's management of community green space.	<p>BOST works extensively with volunteers from a range of backgrounds to manage the planted areas in Red Cross Garden, St George's, Christ Church Garden, Gambia Street Garden, some of Mint Street Park, and Little Dorrit play park.</p> <p>The volunteers contribute towards the safety and surveillance of the park, as well as the removal of litter and worse.</p> <p>BOST is seeking a contribution towards the yearly cost of providing lunches to volunteers.</p>	£2,217

Item No. 15	Classification: Open	Date: 25 April 2012	Meeting Name: Borough & Bankside Community Council
Report title:		Cleaner Greener Safer: Funding Reallocation	
Ward(s) or groups affected:		Cathedrals	
From:		Head of Public Realm	

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That Borough and Bankside Community Council note the unallocated amount of £1,954 from the 2012-13 Cleaner Greener Safer funding be approved to relocation of cycle lockers in Trundle Street, SE1.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2. Cleaner Greener, Safer (CGS) is part of the London Borough of Southwark's capital programme. Between 2003 and 2010 £3.25m has been made available each year for local residents to apply for awards to make their local area a better place to live. The programme attracts hundreds of proposals ranging from a few hundred pounds for bulb planting to brighten up open spaces to tens of thousands of pounds to create community gardens. These projects often introduce new ideas such as outdoor gyms in public spaces, community gardens, public art and energy saving projects which not only make the borough cleaner, greener and safer but greatly contribute to a sustainable public realm by involving residents in the funding process and in the delivery of projects.

KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

3. It is recommended that unallocated amount of £1,954 contained within the 2012-13 capital programme be allocated to relocation of Trundle Street cycle lockers.
4. The cycle lockers are already sited in Trundle Street on the pavement adjoining Mint Street Park. At the time of installation, the lockers were located by Mint Street park boundary wall. This wall was demolished in 2010 and replaced with a boundary fence. The advantage of the fence is to make the park more open and visible from the outside and improves the safety of the park. The lockers now impede visibility and a new site in Trundle Street has been identified to relocate the lockers to.
5. It is recommended that the remaining £1,954 in the 2012/13 CGS allocation be awarded to Trundle Street lockers relocation.

Policy implications

6. N/A

Community impact statement

7. The reallocation of funding will have a positive impact on the community and improve the public space in Cathedrals ward. Cathedrals Safer Neighbourhood Team fully support the relocation.

Resource implications

8. This is the allocation of remaining 2012-13 CGS funding. CGS funding is devolved to community councils to spend on suitable projects. Management of the reallocation of the funding will be contained within existing budgets.

Consultation

9. All Cleaner Greener Safer projects require consultation with stakeholders, including the project applicant, local residents and Tenants and Residents Associations where appropriate. This consultation has already taken place.

SUPPLEMENTARY ADVICE FROM OTHER OFFICERS

10. N/A

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Borough & Bankside Community Council minutes, 13 March 2012	Cleaner Greener Safer, Public Realm, 160 Tooley Street, London, SE1 2TZ	Andrea Allen 020 7525 0860

APPENDICES

No.	Title
None.	

AUDIT TRAIL

Lead Officer	Des Waters, Head of Public Realm	
Report Author	Andrea Allen, Senior Project Manager	
Version	Final	
Dated	17 April 2012	
Key Decision?	No	
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER		
Officer Title	Comments Sought	Comments included
Strategic Director of Communities, Law & Governance	No	No
Finance Director	No	No
Cabinet Member	No	No
Date final report sent to Constitutional Team	17 April 2012	

Agenda Item 16

Item No. 16.	Classification: Open	Date: 25 April 2012	Meeting Name: Borough & Bankside Community Council
Report title:		Community Council Highways Capital Investment 2012/13	
Ward(s) or groups affected:		All in the Community Council areas	
From:		Gill Davies, Strategic Director Environment and Leisure	

RECOMMENDATION

1. To agree the works to be funded from the proposed schemes in the Borough and Bankside Community Council area as set out in Appendix 1 or 2 or to agree alternative schemes.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2. A report was presented to the community council with officer recommended candidate roads for consideration back on 7 November 2011. The community council deferred its decision to enable residents the opportunity to identify their preferred schemes. Subsequently, on 11 January 2012, a workshop took place in which local residents voted to identify the schemes they would like the community council to consider.
3. The outcome, details of the schemes identified and the information together with the cost estimates of the schemes from the workshop process are presented in Appendix 1 for consideration.
4. The community council should note that in Appendix 1, some of the schemes would involve traffic calming, traffic management, highway safety or would fall within the category of works the Council would normally undertake under its reactive Maintenance Programme of works, or are on the Transport for London road network. In these cases, the schemes do not meet the criteria to be funded via the Devolved Highways Budget as outlined in paragraph 9 below. Therefore, no additional work has been undertaken to develop the schemes at this stage. Officers have added a column to the appendix to indicate those schemes that can and cannot be implemented by the devolved highways budget.
5. Appendix 3 provides an update on the highways schemes the community council had agreed in the last two financial years.

KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

6. The overall budget allocation to the Community Council for 2012/13 is £100,000.
7. The Community Council has an additional budget of £71,996.16 from previous under-spends which can also be allocated, divided by ward as follows:
 - Chaucer Ward - £47,293.36
 - Cathedrals Ward - £24,702.80
8. Schemes need to be agreed in April for officers to plan implementation in the current financial year. The community council can allocate up to a total of £171,996.16.

Community Council Selections

9. This money can be spent on any asset renewal or replacement project selected by the Community Council with the caveats that it cannot be spent on traffic safety or parking schemes, non functional or decorative installations and / or non-essential works. In addition to the resurfacing selections provided it, the money (or part thereof) could be spent on minor patching and pothole repairs should a Community Council wish to do so.

Delivery

10. Once the community council has made their selections by the method of their choice they will be designed and delivered as soon as possible in 2012/13. Any under spends or projected overspends will be reported back to community council for resolution or reallocation.

Community Impact Statement

11. There are no specific community impact issues arising from the recommendations.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Highways Capital Investment Programme Decision 18 August 2011	160 Tooley Street PO Box 64529 Southwark Council London SE1P 5LX	Matthew Hill 020 7525 3541 Or Franklin Uwakaneme 0207525 2207

APPENDICES

No.	Title
Appendix 1	Proposed list of Residents/Councillor Preferred Schemes
Appendix 2	Devolved Community Council Funded Schemes (Officer proposals)
Appendix 3	List of previous funded schemes and costs

AUDIT TRAIL

Lead Officer	Gill Davies, Strategic Director Environment and Leisure	
Report Author	Franklin Uwakaneme - Manager, Environmental Improvements	
Version	Final	
Dated	12 April 2012	
Key Decision?	No	
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES		
Officer Title	Comments Sought	Comments included
Strategic Director of Communities, Law & Governance	No	No
Finance Director	No	No
Date final report sent to Constitutional team	12 April 2012	

APPENDIX 1

Proposed list of Residents/Councillor Preferred Schemes

Candidate Road	Ward	Suggested treatment	Estimated Cost
Burrell Street	Cathedral	Footway: please refer to officer note below- Notes: Currently, there is on-going construction works in the vicinity of Burrell Street and it is suggested the development works are completed on site before the council embarks on any highway improvement work.	TBA Please refer to officer notes.
Dodson Street	Cathedral	Footway: please refer to officer note below- Notes: Currently, there is on-going construction works in the vicinity of Dodson Street and it is suggested the development works are completed on site before the council embarks on any highway improvement work.	TBA Please refer to officer notes.
Geraldine Street	Cathedral	Carriageway: Plane off and resurface with 40mm and some section 100m Reinstatement of road marking.	£31,250.00
Great Suffolk Street	Cathedral	Potholes: Make safe any potholes over council's intervene level.	£7,500.00
Great Suffolk Street	Cathedral	Footway: Damaged section (approx 130m) to refurbish on west side.	£28,800.00
Hayles Street	Cathedral	Footway: Various damaged section of Footway replaces like to like. Install bollards on west side to prevent damage on Footway. Not possible to implement entire section of Footway with Cc Budget. Estimated cost is based on only replacing damaged sections.	£47,800.00
King James Street	Cathedral	Potholes: Make safe any potholes over council's intervene level.	£7,500.00
Crosby Row	Chaucer (boundary road with Grange Ward in Bermondsey CC.)	Carriageway: Plane off and resurface with 40mm and some section 100m Reinstatement of road marking. Currently on going construction work on Crosby Row. Notes: The on-going development works taking place in the vicinity of Dodson Street and Crosby Row are estimated to complete by December 2012. If the works overrun, it would be unlikely to plan and complete any Community Council approved scheme before the end of 2012/13 financial year.	£28,500.00 Please refer to officer notes.
Spurgeon Street	Chaucer	Footways: Various damaged section of Footway with 6mm DBM (Bituminous) as Council standard.	£14,500

Supporting Document to Appendix 1

WORKSHOP - BOROUGH & BANKSIDE COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Date: Wednesday 11th January 2012

Highways Devolved Budget – Workshops

Notes for Cathedral Ward taken by Dale Foden and Councillor Thornton

Resident/Councillor preferred schemes/observations/comments

No.	Location	Description	Highway devolved scheme
1	Geraldine Street	carriageway and footway (south west area mainly)	Yes
2	Hayles Street	footway (plus possible bollards on west side to prevent vehicle over-runs)	Yes
3	Dodson Street	footway (carriageway works already completed)	Yes
4	Isabella Street	request for improved street lighting	No – pass to streetlight team
5	Blackfriars Road	opp. Colombo Street (subsidence in carriageway)	No - TfL
6	King James Street	potholes	Yes
7	Copperfield Street	traffic calming	No
8	Great Suffolk Street	potholes	Yes
9	Great Suffolk Street	footway - west side, opp. Tesco	Yes
10	Burrell Street	footways	Yes
11	Southwark Street	zebra crossing req'd o/s Bankside Mix	No - TfL
12	Southwark Street	opp. Table p/h – carriageway subsidence	No - TfL
13	Thrale Street	traffic management/traffic calming	No
14	Toulmin Street	Traffic calming and wider footways	No
15	Toulmin Street	Improved safer facilities o/s Charles Dickens school for dropping off children	No
16	Southwark Street	Junction with Redcross Way – request for ped crossing	No - TfL
17	Borough High Street	Junction with St Thomas Street – request for ped crossing	No - TfL
18	Borough High Street	Junction with Marshalsea Road – request for ped crossing (since guard rails removed, traffic light phasing causes problems for peds crossing road)	No - TfL
19	Nelson Square	West side – ponding on footways	No - reactive

Chaucer Ward comments:

- Great Dover Street – width of carriageway lanes too narrow, potential for vehicles to hit central traffic islands on northbound lanes

General comments:

- Replacement of bituminous repairs in paved footway areas with new slabs.
- Lack of effective supervision for highway works

Notes for Chaucer Ward taken by Mark Whitehouse, Community Council Development Officer
Resident/Councillor preferred schemes/observations/comments

No.	Location	Description	Highway devolved scheme
1	Crosby Row	Road resurfacing needed. Footway improvement needed.	Yes
2	Long Lane-Staple Street junction	The road in Long Lane. Want to do bike path.	No
3	Spurgeon Street	Road resurfacing needed. Footway improvements.	Yes
4	Ottford House	The lamp pole in the Block want doing and drain is full of leaves.	No – reactive
5	Tower Bridge Road	Deep clean & declutter pavement. Repair broken **** [indistinguishable]	No – TfL
6	---	The people live in Brock put rubbish like plastic bag and tin	No
7	---	The meeting last went was going home a woman dog attack a next dog	No
8	Tennis Street	Vehicles going into the Mermaid Court illegally from Tennis St., **** [indistinguishable] re-entry signs	No
9	Borough High Street	The pavement at Borough High Street down of Great Dover Street has many broken/damaged paving slabs	No – TfL
10	Tennis Street	Restrict access to large vehicles in Tennis Street, often used as a short cut when Long Lane is closed	No
11	Great Dover Street	Improvements to traffic flow at Great Dover Street, Tabard School, Borough High Street	No
12	Globe Street	Open up Globe Street at Great Dover Street to allow access to Shere House	No
13	Borough High Street	Crossroads at Borough High Street/ Trinity Street/ Great Suffolk Street is way pupils at Charles Dickens School go if they live the other side of Borough High Street. It has no pedestrian provision so children and their carers get stuck on the central island with busy traffic around.	No – TfL

APPENDIX 2

Devolved Community Council Funded Schemes				
Candidate Road	Ward	Identified defects	Suggested treatment	Estimated Cost
Park St	Cathedral	Carriageway : From intersection with Emerson St to just past the visitor's entrance to the Tate Modern. Longitudinal cracking; trench reinstatements cracking at edges; local subsidence	Carriageway : Plane off and relay 40mm. (NB : one way operation and relatively narrow width may present problems of access during construction . Night working assumed in cost build up)	£34,000.00
Disney Place	Cathedral	East footway : uneven ; different materials	East footway : Repave to Council Standard and join up with recently repaved Marshalsea Rd footway	£2,500.00
		West footway : uneven ; different materials	West footway : Repave to Council Standard and join up with recently repaved Marshalsea Rd footway	£6,500.00
		Carriageway : starting to fail along centreline, various patching; pothole	Carriageway : Plane off and relay 40mm ; some channel reprofiling required	£9,000.00
Emerson St	Cathedral	East footway : Untidy / uneven concrete footway	East footway : Repave to Council standard to compliment those on the west footway	£18,500.00
		Carriageway : trench reinstatements starting to fail; various patchings	Carriageway : Plane off and relay 40 mm including reinstatement of speed cushions	£22,500.00
Pepper St	Cathedral	East footway : Uneven untidy appearance with a mixture of materials.	East footway : repave to Council standard	£17,000.00
		Carriageway : Longitudinal cracking ; trench reinstatements failing ; various materials giving an untidy appearance	Carriageway : Plane off and repave 40mm	£18,000.00
Newcomen St	Chaucer	Footway : (north) uneven surface; untidy appearance with patching and utility reinstatements (NB: Guy's Hospital Nuffield House office entrance)	Footway : Repave to Council standard; channel reprofiling involving some disturbance of adjacent carriageway; reinstatement of road marking including ambulance parking)	£39,500.00

APPENDIX 3

List of previous funded Schemes and Costs.

Scheme Name	Programme Year	Brief Description of Project	Community Councils	Ward	Total Cost of Work
Falmouth Road	2010-11	Carriageway Resurfacing	Borough & Bankside	Chaucer	£9,900.65
Swan Street	2010-11	Footway & Carriageway resurfacing	Borough & Bankside	Chaucer	£15,816.99
Rothsay Street	2011-12	CC transferred budget to Rothsay Streetscape improvement scheme.	Borough & Bankside	Chaucer	£23,600.00
Mint Street	2011-12	Carriageway Resurfacing	Borough & Bankside	Cathedrals	£10,297.22
Princess Street	2011-12	Footway Refurbishment	Borough & Bankside	Cathedrals	£19,798.71
Potholes	2011-12	Across whole B & B Community Councils area	Borough & Bankside	Cathedrals	£22,000.00

Notes –

- Chaucer Ward - under spend £47,293.36
- Cathedrals Ward - under spend £24,702.80

Briefing Note – Borough and Bankside Community Council	
Title	Community Councils – Changes for 2012-13
Report author	Darryl Telles, Neighbourhoods Manager
Date	25 April 2012

REQUIRED ACTION

To note the changes to Community Councils, agreed by Council Assembly, as a result of the Democracy Commission's recommendations.

INTRODUCTION

1. The Democracy Commission was asked by the Council in February 2011 to undertake a review of the work of the community councils, including resident consultation, which examined the role and function of community councils and whether the current functions and powers are the right ones to meet the aims of community councils in the current resource context. Cabinet asked the Commission to identify at least £344,000 of savings.
2. The commission reviewed efficiency savings for community councils and identified savings of £81,527. This relates to the budgets surrounding venue/transport hire, marketing/publicity, access and catering. However the efficiencies savings alone did not meet the overall savings target necessary and therefore the commission investigated other options for savings.
3. The review concluded in December 2011 and the Commission concluded that savings could only be made if the number of Community Council areas, meetings and functions were reduced.

KEY RECOMMENDATIONS

- Reducing the number of community councils from 8 to 5
- Reducing the number of meetings from at least 6 to 5 per year
- Establishing 2 planning sub-committees for minor applications with revised thresholds for decisions going to the main planning committee and the two sub-committees
- Removing school governor appointments and making changes to other areas of decision making such as transport
- Making reductions to the staff

CHANGES

4. These changes were agreed by Council Assembly and come into effect from May 2012:
 - Merge Bermondsey and Rotherhithe Community Councils (retaining the current split of Livesey Ward between Bermondsey & Rotherhithe and Peckham & Nunhead)
 - Merge Peckham and Nunhead & Peckham Rye Community Councils
 - Retain the current boundaries of Dulwich Community Council
 - Retain the current boundaries of Camberwell Community Council
 - Merge Borough & Bankside and Walworth Community Councils.

5. Council Assembly also approved the commission's recommendation that the planning function is no longer exercised by community councils. Instead a new model of a main planning committee and two planning sub-committees will be created.
6. School governor decisions were reviewed by the commission and council assembly agreed the commission's recommendation that this function is no longer exercised by community councils to generate a saving of £10,895. It was noted that these decisions are normally taken in closed session which is not consistent with the engagement role of community councils.
7. Given the context of the significant reductions in the council's budget some changes have had to be made to the officer support for this function as the current cost was unsustainable. The commission identified staffing savings from the engagement function, which was agreed as part of the budget decision by council assembly. The new staffing structure reduces the overall number of community engagement staff whilst retaining one dedicated officer for each of the new five areas.
8. The commission noted that residents see the cleaner, greener, safer and Community fund schemes as evidence of them having an influence on local decisions. Having a say over how council funds are allocated at a local level is valued and recognised as really putting power into the hands of residents. Community councils should be encouraged to develop upon this model. The commission welcomed the cleaner, greener, safer revenue funding of £10,000 per ward which would be available for community councils to allocate from 1 April 2012. It also agreed that there would be no reduction to the Community fund for this year.
9. The Commission also recommended changes to the operation of Community Councils and have asked Chairs to consider the following:
 - more flexibility around meeting times
 - varying the use of local venues
 - increasing the use of workshops to encourage debate and dialogue
 - enabling residents to have more influence over the agenda setting process
 - having question time earlier on in agendas
 - stricter chairing to enable balanced input from residents
 - keeping the length of meetings within a time limit e.g. two hours
 - better use of online forums and social media
 - introducing less formality to meetings was another popular suggestion made and would compliment the desire to improve engagement. People have expressed a preference for a horse-shoe or semi-circular seating arrangement at meetings (with further semi-circular rows behind), to create less "distance" between local people and members especially considering the increase in the number of Councillors for some Community Council areas
 - explore ways to simplify the paperwork to make it more accessible, e.g. plain English summaries of information items could be produced, provided adequate officer resource is available.



Borough and Bankside Community Council

Public Question form

Your name:

Your mailing address:

What is your question?

**Please pass the completed form to Gerald Gohler, Constitutional Officer, or
Pauline Bonner Neighbourhood Co-ordinator**

BOROUGH AND BANKSIDE AGENDA DISTRIBUTION LIST (OPEN)**MUNICIPAL YEAR 2011-12**

NOTE: Original held by Constitutional Team; all amendments/queries to
Gerald Gohler Tel: 020 7525 7420

Name	No of copies	Name	No of copies
Community Council Members		Officers	
Councillor Poddy Clark (Chair)	1	Constitutional Officer (Community Councils) (at CLG, Tooley Street – Second Floor – Hub 4)	50
Councillor Geoffrey Thornton (Vice-Chair)	1		
Councillor Claire Hickson	1		
Councillor Tim McNally	1		
Councillor Adele Morris	1		
Councillor David Noakes	1		
		Borough and Bankside Area Housing Office	1
Libraries		Others	
Local History Library	1	Shahida Nasim, Audit Commission	1
		Borough Commander Southwark Police Station, 323 Borough High Street, London SE1 1JL	1
Press		Total:	63
Southwark News	1	Dated: 5 March 2012	
South London Press	1		
Members of Parliament			
Simon Hughes MP	1		